	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/566,167	KALLEN, MICHAEL CHARLES
	Examiner	Art Unit
	SEAN D. ANDRISH	3672
All Participants:	Status of Application:	<u> </u>
(1) <u>SEAN D. ANDRISH</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>Lance Turlock</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 28 January 2009	Time: <u>5:00 pm</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant  ☐ Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No	nt's representative)	
If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 1, 3, 8, 9, and 11		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: See Continuation Sheet		
Part III.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.		
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
(A <sub>j</sub>	pplicant/Applicant's Representati	ive Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted applicant's attorney to inform him the application was in condition for allowance except for some antecedent basis errors in claims 1, 8, and 9 and grammatical errors in claims 3 and 11. Changes were agreed upon. Examiner will generate an examiner's amendment to make the agreed upon changes.